arXiv:1609.04062v1 [math.AT] 13 Sep 2016

A NEW BASIS FOR THE COMPLEX K-THEORY COOPERATIONS ALGEBRA

DOMINIC LEON CULVER

Abstract. A classical theorem of Adams, Harris, and Switzer states that the 0th grading of complex K-theory cooperations, KU_0ku is isomorphic to the space of numerical polynomials. The space of numerical polynomials has a basis provided by the binomial coefficient polynomials, which gives a basis of KU_0ku.

In this paper, we produce a new p-local basis for $KU_0ku_{(p)}$ using the Adams splitting. This basis is established by using well known formulas for the Hazewinkel generators. For $p = 2$, we show that this new basis coincides with the classical basis modulo higher Adams filtration.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Adams spectral sequence calculation of $E(1)_*BP\langle 1\rangle$ 4
3. Relationship to numerical polynomials 8
4. Comparison of the φ_n and the g_n 13
References 17

1. Introduction

The cooperations algebra KU_*KU was originally computed by Adams, Harris, and Switzer in [1]. They show that KU_*KU is torsion free, and hence the map

$$KU_*KU \to KU_*KU \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}[u^{\pm 1}, v^{\pm 1}]$$

is monic. They determine the image of this map, described in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Adams-Harris-Switzer, [1])**.** *The map*

$$KU_*KU \to KU_*KU \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

Date: September 15, 2016.

gives an isomorphism between KU_*KU and the ring of finite Laurent series $f(u,v)$ which satisfy the following condition: for any nonzero integers h,k we have

$$f(h\beta,k\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta^{\pm 1},h^{-1},k^{-1}].$$

If we are working with the 2-local complex K-theory spectrum KU, then we can rewrite this condition as

$$KU_0KU_{(2)} \simeq \{f(w) \in \mathbb{Q}[w^{\pm 1}] \mid f(k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}^{\times}\}$$

where $w := v/u$. Since KU is an even periodic ring spectrum, this determines the entire algebra KU_*ku. An elegant proof of this fact using an arithmetic square can be found in [6]. In particular, this method allows one to calculate

$$KU_0ku_{(2)} = \{g(w) \in \mathbb{Q}[w] \mid g(k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}^{\times}\}$$

which is known as the space of *2-local semistable numerical polynomials*. This is related to the space of *2-local numerical polynomials*:

$$A := \{h(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \mid h(k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\}$$

via the following change of coordinates

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \to \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}^{\times};\ k \mapsto 2k+1$$

A classical result is that the ring A of numerical polynomials is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$-module with basis given by the *binomial coefficient polynomials*

$$p_n(x) := \binom{x}{n} = \frac{x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)}{n!}.$$

Via the change of coordinates above, we obtain a basis for KU_0ku,

$$g_n(w) = \frac{(w-1)(w-3)\cdots(w-(2n-1))}{2^n n!}.$$

At any prime p, another basis for $KU_0ku_{(p)}$ is discussed by Baker in [3] and [4]. In these papers, Baker gives a different basis for $KU_0ku_{(p)}$ where the role of the polynomials $p_n(x)$ are replaced by a sequence of Teichmüller characters, and he recovers a recursive formula.

When localizing at an odd prime p, KU splits as a wedge of suspensions of the *Johnson-Wilson theory* $E(1)$. The homotopy groups of this spectrum are

$$\pi_*(E(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1^{\pm 1}].$$

The connective cover $ku_{(p)}$ splits as a wedge of suspensions of the *truncated Brown-Peterson spectrum* $BP\langle 1\rangle$. The homotopy groups of this spectrum are

$$\pi_*(BP\langle 1\rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1].$$

When the prime is 2, then the spectra $E(1)$ and $KU_{(2)}$ are equivalent, as are the spectra $BP\langle 1\rangle$ and $ku_{(2)}$. Using the Künneth spectral sequence, it is shown in [5] that

$$\begin{aligned} E(1)_*BP\langle 1\rangle &\simeq E(1)_* \otimes_{BP_*} BP_*BP \otimes_{BP_*} BP\langle 1\rangle_* \\ &\simeq E(1)_*[t_1, t_2, \ldots]/(\eta_R(v_2), \eta_R(v_3), \ldots) \end{aligned}$$

where the v_i denote the Hazewinkel generators for BP_* and η_R denotes the right unit for the Hopf algebroid (BP_*, BP_*BP). The splitting of $KU_{(p)}$ at odd primes p gives a map

$$\varphi : E(1)_*BP\langle 1\rangle \to KU_*ku_{(p)} \tag{1}$$

obtained by including the summand. At the prime 2, this map is an isomorphism.

In this paper, we use the mod p Adams spectral sequence for the spectrum $E(1) \wedge BP\langle 1\rangle$ to determine a basis for $E(1)_0BP\langle 1\rangle$ in terms of the generators t_i. Using the map φ, we find what semistable numerical polynomials these basis elements correspond to. More specifically, if we set

$$\varphi_n := \varphi\left(v_1^{-\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}} t_n\right)$$

then we determine an inductive formula determining the φ_n's. The basis for $E(1)_0BP\langle 1\rangle$ will then be the set of certain monomials on the φ_n's. This inductive formula stems from formulas for the right unit, η_R, on the Hazewinkel generators v_i. Strangely, these inductive formulas bear a striking resemblance to those of Baker in [3]. The author does not know how these bases are related.

After determining a basis for $E(1)_0BP\langle 1\rangle$ at all primes, we focus on the prime 2, in which case φ becomes an isomorphism, giving us a new basis for KU_0ku. We compare this new basis with the one provided by the g_n's. In particular, it will be shown that the g_n-basis and the one produced here will be the same modulo higher Adams filtration. Our basis has the advantage that it is tightly connected to BP-theory and the Steenrod algebra. Moreover, our techniques

furnish a basis for $E(1)_0 BP\langle 1\rangle$ at odd primes, which could not be obtained before by the result of Adams-Switzer-Harris.

Conventions. We will write ζ_i for the conjugates of the polynomial generators ξ_i in the dual Steenrod algebra. When given a prime p, we will write $H_*(-)$ for the functor $H_*(-;\mathbb{F}_p)$. We will write $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}_*}(M)$ for $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}_*}(\mathbb{F}_p, M)$ when M is a comodule over the dual Steenrod algebra. We will also write $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{E}(1)_*}(M)$ for $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{E}(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_p, M)$ when M is a comodule over the Hopf algebra $\mathscr{E}(1)_* = E(Q_0, Q_1)_*$. If X is a spectrum, we will write $M_*(X; Q_i)$ for the Margolis homology groups $M_*(H_*X; Q_i)$.

2. Adams spectral sequence calculation of $E(1)_* BP\langle 1\rangle$

We begin by reviewing the calculation of $ku_* ku_{(2)}$ in terms of the Adams spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}_*}(H_*(ku \wedge ku)) \implies ku_* ku_2^\wedge. \tag{2}$$

The details of this calculation can be found in [2]. Recall that

$$H_*(ku) \simeq (\mathscr{A} /\!\!/ \mathscr{E}(1))_*$$

where $\mathscr{E}(1)$ denotes the subalgebra of the Steenrod algebra $\mathscr{A}$ which is generated by the Milnor primitives Q_0 and Q_1. Thus a change-of-rings shows that the spectral sequence is of the form

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{E}(1)}((\mathscr{A} /\!\!/ \mathscr{E}(1))_*) \implies ku_* ku_2^\wedge.$$

An important invariant needed in calculating Ext over the Hopf algebra $\mathscr{E}(1)$ is the *Margolis homology*. If X is a module over $\mathscr{E}(1)$, then as $\mathscr{E}(1)$ is an exterior algebra on Q_0 and Q_1, the actions by Q_i square to zero, so we may regard X as a chain complex with differentials Q_i. We define the *Margolis homology group with respect to* Q_i to be

$$M_*(X; Q_i) := \ker Q_i / \operatorname{im} Q_i$$

i.e., the homology of X with respect to the differential Q_i. An easy calculation (cf. [2]) shows that

$$M_*(ku; Q_0) \simeq P(\zeta_1^2)$$

and

$$M_*(ku; Q_1) \simeq E(\zeta_1^2, \zeta_2^2, \zeta_3^2, \ldots).$$

There is a *weight filtration* on $\mathscr{A}_*$ given by setting

$$\mathrm{wt}(\zeta_k) = 2^{k-1}$$

and extending to general monomials by

$$\mathrm{wt}(xy) = \mathrm{wt}(x) + \mathrm{wt}(y).$$

The weight filtration gives an algebraic decomposition

$$(\mathscr{A} /\!\!/ \mathscr{E}(1))_* \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} M_1(k)$$

where the $M_1(k)$ denote the subspaces spanned by monomials whose weight is exactly equal to $2k$. These turn out to be subcomodules and they are the homology of the *integral Brown-Gitler spectra*. The Margolis homology of the subcomodules $M_1(k)$ have an interesting property.

Proposition 1. *The Margolis homology groups of $M_1(k)$ are the subspaces of the Margolis homology of $(\mathscr{A} /\!\!/ \mathscr{E}(1))_*$ spanned by the weight $2k$ monomials. In particular*

$$M_*(M_1(k); Q_0) = \mathbb{F}_2\{\zeta_1^{2k}\}$$

and if the binary expansion of k is

$$k = k_0 + k_1 2 + k_2 2^2 + \cdots$$

then

$$M_*(M_1(k); Q_1) = \mathbb{F}_2\{\zeta_1^{2k_0}\zeta_2^{2k_1}\zeta_3^{2k_2}\cdots\}.$$

In particular, the Margolis homology groups of $M_1(k)$ are one dimensional.

Adams was able to show in [2] that, since the Margolis homology of the $M_1(k)$ are one dimensional, there is an isomorphism

$$M_1(k)^* \simeq \overline{\mathscr{E}(1)}^{\otimes k-\alpha(k)} \oplus F$$

where $\overline{\mathscr{E}(1)}$ denotes the augmentation ideal of $\mathscr{E}(1)$, F is some free $\mathscr{E}(1)$-module, and $\alpha(k)$ denotes the number of 1's in the dyadic expansion of k. Thus,

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{E}(1)_*}(M_1(k))/tors \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}_*}(H_*(ku^{\langle k-\alpha(k)\rangle}))$$

where $ku^{\langle i\rangle}$ denotes the ith Adams cover of ku. From this it follows that the Adams spectral sequence (2) collapses at E_2.

The algebra $KU_*ku_{(2)}$ is obtained from $ku_*ku_{(2)}$ by inverting the element v_1, thus it is the direct sum of the modules

$$v_1^{-1}\,\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{E}(1)}(M_1(k)).$$

We will now calculate these v_1-inverted Ext-groups. Here is an example of the Adams chart for $v_1^{-1}\operatorname{Ext}(M_1(4))$.

Example 1. We will calculate $v_1^{-1}\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{E}(1)_*}(M_1(4))$ and find a $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$-generator in degree 8. Here is a picture of the Adams chart.



This picture is obtained by drawing the Adams chart for $\operatorname{Ext}(M_1(4))$ and then drawing v_1^{-1}-towers on each dot on the 0-line. In this example, we see that the relations give $2^3v_1^{-3}\zeta_3^2 = \zeta_1^8$. This shows that the group $v_1^{-1}\operatorname{Ext}^{s,s+8}_{\mathscr{E}(1)}(M_1(4))$ is generated over $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ by $v_1^{-3}\zeta_1^8$. This also shows that the contribution of $v_1^{-1}\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{E}(1)}(M_1(4))$ to KU_0ku is the free $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$-module generated by $v_1^{-7}\zeta_3^2$.

Proposition 2. *Let $k = k_0 + k_1 2 + k_2 2^2 + \cdots$ be a natural number, then as a module over $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_1^{\pm 1}]$, the modules $v_1^{-1}Ext_{\mathscr{E}(1)_*}(M_1(k))$ are generated by $v_1^{k-\alpha(k)}\zeta_1^{2k_0}\zeta_2^{2k_1}\cdots$*

Recall that in the Adams spectral sequence for BP_*BP,

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{E}_*}(P(\zeta_1^2,\zeta_2^2,\zeta_3^2,\ldots)) \implies BP_*BP,$$

the elements $t_i \in BP_*BP$ are detected by ζ_i^2. Since

$$\begin{aligned} E(1)_*BP\langle 1\rangle &\simeq E(1)_* \otimes_{BP_*} BP_*BP \otimes_{BP_*} BP\langle 1\rangle \\ &\simeq E(1)_*[t_1,t_2,\ldots]/(\eta_R(v_2),\eta_R(v_3),\ldots) \end{aligned}$$

the elements ζ_i^2 in the Adams spectral sequence for $E(1)_*BP\langle 1\rangle$ detect t_i. With this notation, we conclude[1]

[1]Note since KU_0ku has no divisible summands, a set of elements of $KU_0ku_{(2)}$ is a basis if and only if it is a basis of $KU_0ku_2^\wedge$.

Corollary 1. *Let* $\varphi_n = v_1^{-2^n+1} t_n$ *in* $KU_0 ku_{(2)}$. *The following monomials*

$$\varphi_1^{\epsilon_1} \varphi_2^{\epsilon_2} \cdots$$

with $\epsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}$ *forms a basis for the free* $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$*-module* $KU_0 ku_{(2)}$.

At an odd prime p, the dual Steenrod algebra is given by

$$\mathscr{A}_* = P(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots) \otimes E(\overline{\tau}_0, \overline{\tau}_1, \ldots)$$

and the mod p homology of $BP\langle 1 \rangle$ is given by

$$H_*(BP\langle 1 \rangle) = (\mathscr{A} /\!\!/ E(Q_0, Q_1))_*$$

where the Q_0, Q_1 are the Milnor primitives. Concretely this algebra is

$$(\mathscr{A} /\!\!/ E(Q_0, Q_1))_* = P(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \ldots) \otimes E(\overline{\tau}_2, \overline{\tau}_3, \ldots).$$

There is a left action of $E(Q_0, Q_1)$ on $(\mathscr{A} /\!\!/ E(Q_0, Q_1))_*$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i(\overline{\tau}_k) &= \zeta_{k-i}^{p^i} \\ Q_i(\zeta_k) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all k. This shows that the Margolis homology of $BP\langle 1 \rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned} M_*(BP\langle 1 \rangle; Q_0) &= P(\zeta_1) \\ M_*(BP\langle 1 \rangle; Q_1) &= P(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \ldots)/(\zeta_1^p, \zeta_2^p, \ldots). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the 2-primary case, one can put a *weight filtration* on $(\mathscr{A} /\!\!/ E(Q_0, Q_1))_*$ by

$$\mathrm{wt}(\zeta_k) = \mathrm{wt}(\tau_k) = p^k.$$

If we let $M_1(k)$ denote the subcomodule spanned by the monomials of weight exactly pk then we get an algebraic decomposition

$$(\mathscr{A} /\!\!/ E(Q_0, Q_1))_* \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} M_1(k).$$

As in the 2-primary case, the Margolis homology of the subcomodules $M_1(k)$ are both one-dimensional, which from the classification theorem shows that

$$M_1(k)^* \simeq \overline{\mathscr{E}(1)}^{\frac{k-\alpha_p(k)}{p-1}} \oplus F$$

where $\alpha_p(k)$ is the sum of the digits in the p-adic expansion of k and F is a free module. In particular

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{E(Q_0,Q_1)}(M_1(k))/tors \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}_*}\left(H_*\left(BP\langle 1\rangle^{\left\langle \frac{k-\alpha_p(k)}{p-1}\right\rangle}\right)\right).$$

From this it follows that the Adams spectral sequence for $BP\langle 1\rangle_* BP\langle 1\rangle$ collapses at the E_2-page.

Recall that the Adams spectral sequence for BP_*BP at an odd prime is

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{E(\overline{\tau}_0,\overline{\tau}_1,\ldots)}(P(\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\ldots)) \implies BP_*BP$$

and in this spectral sequence the ζ_k detects $t_k \in BP_*BP$. Thus we shall write t_k for ζ_k. Then a proof similar to the proof of Proposition 2 shows that

Proposition 3. *Let the p-adic expansion of k be given by $k = k_0 + k_1 p + k_2 p^2 + \cdots$. Then over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1^{\pm 1}]$, the module $v_1^{-1}\,Ext_{E(\tau_0,\tau_1)}(BP\langle 1\rangle)$ is generated by*

$$v_1^{-\frac{k-\alpha_p(k)}{p-1}} t_1^{k_0} t_2^{k_2} t_3^{k_3} \cdots .$$

Corollary 2. *Let $\eta_n := v_1^{-\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}} t_n$. The $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-module $E(1)_0 BP\langle 1\rangle$ is free with basis given by the monomials*

$$\eta_1^{k_1}\eta_2^{k_2}\cdots$$

where each $k_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$.

3. Relationship to numerical polynomials

We will now determine the map

$$\varphi : E(1)_0 BP\langle 1\rangle \to KU_0 ku$$

in terms of numerical polynomials. Recall that the homotopy groups of the *integral* complex K-theory spectrum are

$$\pi_* KU = \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$$

and thus the rational homotopy groups are

$$\pi_*(KU_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}[v^{\pm 1}].$$

Thus we get

$$\pi_*(KU \wedge KU_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}[v^{\pm 1}, u^{\pm 1}]$$

where we let u denote the Bott element coming from the right hand side KU. Similarly, the rational homotopy groups of $KU \wedge ku$ is given by

$$\pi_*(KU \wedge ku_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}[v^{\pm 1}, u].$$

Given a prime p, the rational homotopy groups of $E(1) \wedge BP\langle 1\rangle$ are given by

$$\pi_*(E(1) \wedge BP\langle 1\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}[v_1^{\pm 1}, u_1].$$

Moreover, at a prime p, there is a topological splitting

$$KU_{(p)} \simeq E(1) \vee \Sigma^2 E(1) \vee \cdots \vee \Sigma^{2(p-2)} E(1)$$

and the inclusion

$$E(1) \to KU$$

is given in homotopy by

$$\pi_* E(1) \to \pi_* KU_{(p)};\ v_1 \mapsto v^{p-1}.$$

Thus the morphism

$$\varphi : E(1) \wedge BP\langle 1\rangle \to KU \wedge ku_{(p)}$$

is given in rational homotopy by

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}} : E(1)_* BP\langle 1\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \to KU_* ku_{\mathbb{Q}}\,;\ v_1 \mapsto v^{p-1},\ u_1 \mapsto u^{p-1}.$$

Let $w_1 := u_1/v_1$, then under $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have that

$$w_1 \mapsto w^{p-1}.$$

We will now determine the value of φ on the monomials

$$\varphi_n := \varphi v_1^{-\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}} t_n.$$

To do this, we will need the following formula which determines the Hazewinkel generators

$$p\lambda_n = \sum_{0 \le i < n} \lambda_i v_{n-i}^{p^i}$$

and the formula for the right unit on λ_n

$$\eta_R(\lambda_n) = \sum_{0 \le i \le n} \lambda_i t_{n-i}^{p^i}.$$

One can find proofs of these formulas in part 2 of [2] and in [7]. Here the λ_n is the coefficient of x^{p^n} in the logarithm for the universal p-typical formal group law. We will show

Theorem 2. *The semistable polynomials φ_n are given recursively by*

$$\varphi_1 = \frac{w^{p-1} - 1}{p}$$

and

$$\varphi_n = \frac{w^{p^n-1} - p^{n-1}\varphi_{n-1}^p - \cdots - p\varphi_1^{p^{n-1}} - 1}{p^n}.$$

We will work out a few examples explicitly and then prove the theorem. Firstly, one has

$$p\lambda_1 = v_1$$

and so

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{v_1}{p}.$$

We will write u_n for $\eta_R(v_n)$. This is justified because in $E(1)_*E(1)$, $\eta_R(v_1)$ is u_1. Applying η_R gives

$$\eta_R(v_1/p) = \eta_R(\lambda_1) = t_1 + \lambda_1$$

and so

$$u_1 = \eta_R(v_1) = pt_1 + v_1$$

Thus

$$t_1 = \frac{u_1 - v_1}{p}$$

and so

$$\varphi_1 = \frac{w^{p-1} - 1}{p}.$$

To get at φ_2, we need to compute $\eta_R(v_2)$. We have

$$p\lambda_2 = v_2 + \lambda_1 v_1^p$$

and so

$$v_2 = p\lambda_2 - \frac{v_1^{p+1}}{p}.$$

Applying η_R we get

$$u_2 = p(t_2 + \lambda_1 t_1^p + \lambda_2) - \frac{u_1^{p+1}}{p}.$$

Rewriting this, we get

$$u_2 = pt_2 + v_1 t_1^p + v_2 + \frac{v_1^{p+1}}{p} - \frac{u_1^{p+1}}{p}.$$

Tensoring with $BP\langle 1\rangle_*$ produces the following relation in $E(1)_*BP\langle 1\rangle$:

$$0 = pt_2 + v_1 t_1^p + \frac{v_1^{p+1}}{p} - \frac{u_1^{p+1}}{p}$$

and hence

$$t_2 = \frac{u_1^{p+1} - v_1^{p+1}}{p^2} - \frac{v_1 t_1^p}{p}.$$

Multiplying by v_1^{-p-1} gives

$$v_1^{-p-1} t_2 = \frac{w_1^{p+1} - p(v_1^{-1}t_1)^p - 1}{p^2}$$

which shows that

$$\varphi_2 = \frac{w^{p^2-1} - p\varphi_1^p - 1}{p^2}.$$

We will need the following lemma

Lemma 1. *In $E(1)_*BP\langle 1\rangle$ there is the following equality*

$$\lambda_n = \frac{v_1^{\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}}}{p^n}$$

Proof. This follows from the identity

$$p\lambda_n = \sum_{0\le i<n} \lambda_i v_{n-i}^{p^i}$$

and the fact that in $E(1)_*BP\langle 1\rangle$, $v_k = 0$ for $k > 1$. Thus $p\lambda_n = \lambda_{n-1} v_1^{p^{n-1}}$. Proceeding inductively gives the identity

$$\lambda_n = \frac{v_1^{p^{n-1}+p^{n-2}+\cdots+p+1}}{p^n} = \frac{v_1^{\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}}}{p^n}.$$

□

We will prove our theorem from the following proposition.

Proposition 4. *In $E(1)_*BP\langle 1\rangle$, there is the relation*

$$pt_n + \sum_{1\le i\le n} \frac{v_1^{\frac{p^i-1}{p-1}} t_{n-i}^{p^i}}{p^{i-1}} = \frac{u_1^{\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}}}{p^{n-1}}.$$

Proof. The formula for the Hazewinkel generators is

$$p\lambda_n = v_n + \sum_{1\leq i\leq n-1} \lambda_i v_{n-i}^{p^i}$$

whereby

$$v_n = p\lambda_n - \sum_{1\leq i\leq n-1} \lambda_i v_{n-i}^{p^i}.$$

Applying η_R then gives

$$u_n = p\sum_{0\leq i\leq n} \lambda_i t_{n-i}^{p^i} - \sum_{1\leq i\leq n-1} \left(\sum_{0\leq j\leq i} \lambda_j t_{i-j}^{p^j}\right) u_{n-i}^{p^i}.$$

In $E(1)_*BP\langle 1\rangle$, the u_k are zero for $k > 1$. So this gives

$$p\sum_{0\leq i\leq n} \lambda_i t_{n-i}^{p^i} = \sum_{0\leq j\leq n-1} \lambda_j t_{n-1-j}^{p^j} u_1^{p^{n-1}}.$$

Using the previous lemma, we can rewrite this as

$$p\sum_{0\leq i\leq n} \frac{v_1^{\frac{p^i-1}{p-1}}}{p^i} t_{n-i}^{p^i} = \left(\sum_{0\leq j\leq n-1} \frac{v_1^{\frac{p^j-1}{p-1}}}{p^j} t_{n-1-j}^{p^j}\right) u_1^{p^{n-1}}. \tag{3}$$

We will proceed inductively, the base case being trivial to check. Suppose that we have shown the formula for $n-1$. To complete the induction, it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{0\leq j\leq n-1} \frac{v_1^{\frac{p^j-1}{p-1}}}{p^j} t_{n-1-j}^{p^j} = \frac{u_1^{\frac{p^{n-1}-1}{p-1}}}{p^{n-1}}.$$

Plugging in our inductive formula for t_{n-1}:

$$t_{n-1} = \frac{u_1^{\frac{p^{n-1}-1}{p-1}}}{p^{n-1}} - \sum_{1\leq k\leq n-1} \frac{v_1^{\frac{p^k-1}{p-1}}}{p^k} t_{n-1-k}^{p^k}$$

into the right hand side of equation (3) yields

$$\frac{u_1^{\frac{p^{n-1}-1}{p-1}}}{p^{n-1}} - \sum_{1\leq k\leq n-1} \frac{v_1^{\frac{p^k-1}{p-1}}}{p^k} t_{n-1-k}^{p^k} + \sum_{1\leq k\leq n-1} \frac{v_1^{\frac{p^j-1}{p-1}}}{p^j} t_{n-1-j}^{p^j} = \frac{u_1^{\frac{p^{n-1}-1}{p-1}}}{p^{n-1}}$$

which completes the proof. □

We now prove the theorem

Proof of Theorem. By definition,

$$\varphi_n := \varphi\left(v_1^{-\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}} t_n\right).$$

Observe that

$$\frac{p^n-1}{p-1} = p^j \frac{p^{n-j}-1}{p-1} + \frac{p^j-1}{p-1}.$$

This and the proposition then show that

$$v_1^{-\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}} t_n = \frac{w_1^{\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}}}{p^n} - \sum_{0<j\leq n} \frac{v_1^{\frac{p^j-1}{p-1}} v_1^{-\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}}}{p^j} t_{n-j}^{p^j} = \frac{w_1^{\frac{p^n-1}{p-1}}}{p^n} - \sum_{0<j\leq n} \frac{(v_1^{-\frac{p^{n-j}-1}{p-1}} t_{n-j})^{p^j}}{p^j}.$$

Applying φ now shows that φ_n satisfies the recursive formula, by induction. □

4. Comparison of the φ_n and the g_n

In this section we will let $p = 2$, so that $E(1)$ is equivalent to $KU_{(2)}$ and $BP\langle 1\rangle$ is equivalent to $ku_{(2)}$. Thus the map φ is an isomorphism providing $KU_0 ku_{(2)}$ with the basis provided by the φ_n's. In this section we compare this basis with the basis provided by the g_n's. In particular we show that the bases are the same modulo higher Adams filtration.

Recall that in the Adams spectral sequence computing $\pi_* BP$:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}_*}(H_* BP) \implies \pi_* BP_2^\wedge$$

the elements v_i have Adams filtration 1. Also, in the ASS computing $BP_* BP$,

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathscr{A}_*}(H_*(BP \wedge BP)) \implies \pi_*(BP \wedge BP)_2^\wedge$$

the elements detecting t_i have Adams filtration 0. Moreover, the map

$$\varphi : E(1)_* BP\langle 1\rangle \to KU_* ku_{(2)}$$

preserve Adams filtration. Therefore, as φ_n is the image of $v_1^{-2^n+1} t_n$ under φ, we can conclude:

Proposition 5. *The Adams filtration of φ_n is given by*

$$\mathrm{AF}(\varphi_n) = -(2^n - 1).$$

The Adams filtration of the semistable numerical polynomial g_n is given by (cf. section 2.3 of [6])

$$\mathrm{AF}(g_n) = \alpha(n) - 2n$$

where $\alpha(n)$ denotes the number of 1's in the binary expansion of n. We will equate the g_n with products of φ_n modulo elements of higher Adams filtration. Write out n's binary expansion

$$n = n_0 + n_1 2 + n_2 2^2 + \cdots + n_\ell 2^\ell,$$

then

$$\mathrm{AF}(\varphi_1^{n_0}\varphi_2^{n_1}\cdots\varphi_\ell^{n_\ell}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} n_i(1-2^{i+1}) = \alpha(n) - 2n$$

so g_n and $\varphi_1^{n_0}\varphi_2^{n_1}\cdots\varphi_\ell^{n_\ell}$ have the same Adams filtration. We will prove the following.

Proposition 6. *Given n and its dyadic expansion*

$$n = n_0 + n_1 2 + n_2 2^2 + \cdots$$

we have that

$$g_n \equiv \varphi_1^{n_0}\varphi_2^{n_1}\cdots \mod \textit{higher Adams filtration}.$$

To prove this proposition, we will need to prove several lemmas, which is done below.

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$\varphi_n \equiv \frac{\varphi_1^{2^{n-1}}}{2^{2^{n-1}-1}} \mod \textit{higher Adams filtration}.$$

Proof. The map φ preserves Adams filtration. Moreover, from Proposition 2, in $v_1^{-1}\operatorname{Ext}(M_1(2^{n-1}))$, there is the relation

$$2^{2^{n-1}-1}v_1^{-(2^{n-1}-1)}t_n = t_1^{2^{n-1}}.$$

Multiplying by $v_1^{-2^{n-1}}$ and applying φ gives the desired relation. □

Lemma 3. *We have*

$$g_n \equiv \frac{\varphi_1^n}{n!} \mod \textit{higher Adams filtration}.$$

Proof. We prove this by induction on n. Note that $g_1 = \psi_1$. Suppose that we have shown that

$$g_n \equiv \frac{\varphi_1^n}{n!} \mod \text{higher Adams filtration}.$$

Note that

$$g_{n+1} = g_n \cdot \frac{w-(2n+1)}{2(n+1)}.$$

Even though $\frac{w-(2n+1)}{2(n+1)}$ is not an element of $KU_0ku_{(2)}$, it is an element of $KU_0ku \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. We will show that in $KU_0ku \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, the element g_{n+1} is congruent to $\frac{\varphi_1^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$ modulo higher Adams filtration in $KU_0ku \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, where Adams filtration is extended to $KU_0ku \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by setting

$$\mathrm{AF}\left(\frac{x}{2^i}\right) = \mathrm{AF}(x) - i.$$

This will complete the induction process because the map

$$KU_*ku \to KU_*ku \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

preserves Adams filtration and is monic, inducing a monomorphism on associated graded spaces

$$E^0KU_*ku \to E^0KU_*ku \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Note that

$$\mathrm{AF}(g_{n+1}) = \alpha(n+1) - 2n - 2$$

and also that

$$\mathrm{AF}\left(\frac{w-(2n+1)}{2(n+1)}\right) = \alpha(n+1) - \alpha(n) - 2.$$

From the formula

$$\nu_2(n!) = n - \alpha(n)$$

we find

$$\nu_2(n+1) = \nu_2((n+1)!) - \nu_2(n!) = 1 - \alpha(n+1) - \alpha(n).$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{AF}\left(\frac{w-(2n+1)}{2(n+1)}\right) = \mathrm{AF}\left(\frac{\varphi_1}{n+1}\right) = -1 - \nu_2(n+1).$$

This suggests that these numerical polynomials might be equivalent modulo higher adams filtration. Indeed,

$$\frac{w-(2n+1)}{2(n+1)} - \frac{w-1}{2(n+1)} = \frac{2n}{2(n+1)} = \frac{n}{n+1}$$

and

$$\mathrm{AF}\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) = \nu_2(n) - \nu_2(n+1) > -1 - \nu_2(n+1)$$

and so, in $E^0(KU_*ku \otimes \mathbb{Q})$,

$$\frac{w-(2n+1)}{2(n+1)} \equiv \frac{\varphi_1}{n+1} \mod \text{higher Adams filtration}$$

which implies that

$$g_{n+1} \equiv \frac{\varphi_1^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \mod \text{higher Adams filtration}$$

which completes the induction. □

Corollary 3. *We have the following congruence*

$$g_n \equiv \frac{\varphi_1^n}{2^{n-\alpha(n)}} \mod \textit{higher Adams filtration}.$$

Proof. This is because the 2-adic valuation of $n!$ is

$$\nu_2(n!) = n - \alpha(n).$$

□

Lemma 4. *There is the following congruence*

$$g_{2^n} \equiv \varphi_{n+1} \mod \textit{higher Adams filtration}.$$

Proof. The previous corollary gives that

$$g_{2^n} \equiv \frac{\varphi_1^{2^n}}{2^{2^n-\alpha(2^n)}} \mod \text{higher Adams filtration}$$

and by Lemma 2

$$\varphi_{n+1} \equiv \frac{\varphi_1^{2^n}}{2^{2^n-1}} \mod \text{higher Adams fitration}.$$

Since $\alpha(2^n) = 1$, this proves the lemma. □

We can now prove Proposition 6

Proof of Proposition 6. First observe that if we take the binary expansion of n

$$n = n_0 + n_1 2 + n_2 2^2 + \cdots$$

then

$$g_n \equiv g_1^{n_0} g_2^{n_1} g_{2^2}^{n_2} \cdots \mod \text{higher Adams filtration} \tag{4}$$

Indeed, by Corollary 3, we have the congruence

$$g_n \equiv \frac{\varphi_1^n}{n!} \mod \text{higher Adams filtration}$$

and

$$g_1^{n_0} g_2^{n_1} g_{2^2}^{n_2} \cdots \equiv \left(\frac{\varphi_1}{2^0!}\right)^{n_0} \left(\frac{\varphi_1^2}{2^1!}\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{\varphi_1^{2^2}}{2^2!}\right)^{n_2} \cdots \quad \text{mod higher Adams filtration.}$$

In this last expression, the right hand side is equal to

$$\frac{\varphi_1^n}{(2^0!)^{n_0}(2^1!)^{n_1}(2^2!)^{n_2}\cdots}.$$

So in order to show (4), it needs to be shown that

$$\nu_2(n!) = \nu_2((2^0!)^{n_0}(2^1!)^{n_1}(2^2!)^{n_2}\cdots).$$

The right hand is equal to

$$\sum_i n_i \nu_2(2^i) = \sum_i n_i 2^i - n_i = n - \alpha(n) = \nu_2(n!)$$

and this proves the congruence (4).

To prove the proposition, apply Corollary 4 to the right hand side of (4). This gives

$$g_n \equiv \varphi_1^{n_0} \varphi_2^{n_1} \varphi_3^{n_2} \cdots$$

completing the proof of Proposition 6. □

References

[1] J. F. Adams, A. S. Harris, and R. M. Switzer, *Hopf algebras of cooperations for real and complex k-theory*, Proc. London Math. Soc (1971).

[2] J.F. Adams, *Stable homotopy and generalised homology*, University of Chicago Press, 1974.

[3] Andrew Baker, *p-adic continuous functions on rings of integers and a theorem of k. mahler*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **s2-33** (1986), no. 3, 414–420, available at `http://jlms.oxfordjournals.org/content/s2-33/3/414.full.pdf+html`.

[4] ———, *Operations and cooperations in elliptic cohomology, part 1: Generalized modular forms and the cooperation algebra*, New York Journal of Mathematics (1995).

[5] Andrew Baker and Birgit Richter, *On the cooperation algebra of the connective Adams summand*, Tbilisi Mathematical Journal **1** (2008), 33–70.

[6] Mark Behrens, Kyle Ormsby, Nathaniel Stapleton, and Vesna Stojanoska, *On the ring of cooperations for 2-primary connective topological modular forms*. preprint.

[7] Michiel Hazewinkel, *Formal groups and applications*, Academic Press, Inc, 1978.

University of Notre Dame
E-mail address: `dculver@nd.edu`